

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,435	09/28/2001	Raymond Krasinski	US 010151	6361	
24737 759	90 03/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTE	ELLECTUAL PROPER	PEESO, THOMAS R			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	······································		2132	`	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Community	09/966,435	KRASINSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,11 and 13-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10,12 and 18-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09282001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3 9 2 7 0 0  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/966,435

Art Unit: 2132

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 11, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,385,596 to Wiser et al.

As per claims 1, 11 and 14, Wiser et al. disclose marking content (col. 17, lines 22-28, inserting at least one section of legitimate content into the marked content and subjecting the marked content to a screening algorithm (col. 17, lines 7-15).

As per claims 3, 13 and 16, Wiser et al. disclose this limitation (col. 7, lines 7-9).

As per claim 4, Wiser et al. disclose the content being downloaded from the Internet (col. 5, lines 43-46).

As per claims 5 and 17, Wiser et al. discloses writing the downloaded content to a memory device (col. 10, lines 13-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,435

Art Unit: 2132

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiser et al. in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 2 and 15, Wiser et al. do not specifically disclose this feature. The examiner, however, takes official notice that this feature is a well known component of many systems.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-10, 12, 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,999,740 to Rowley.

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner Art Unit 2132 Page 4

\*\*\*

March 8, 2005